Open Door Policy

This document is available in alternative formats such as electronic format or large print upon request Please contact the Equality, Diversity and Human Rights Team on 01273 778383 or email equality.diversity@sussexpartnership.nhs.uk

1. Equality and Human Rights Impact Analysis (EHRIA)

1.1	Board Lead:	Chief Nurse	1.2 Analysis Start Date:	September 2017							
			1.3 Analysis Submission Date:	May 2012							
				February 2018							
1.4	Analysis Team Members:	1) Author / Editor: Associate Director of Nursing									
15	If this is a cross agency	2) Frontline Staff: Acute Care Forum, Matrons									
1.5	policy/service or strategy please indicate partner agencies and their formal title	3) Patient / End-user:									
		4) I/We, being the author(s), Service Managers, evidence to support accountable decision-mathematics has been carried out throughout	akers with due regard to the Natio	onal Equality Duties, and that							
1.6	Completion Statement	the analysis has been carried out throughout the design or implementation stage of the service or policy.									
1.7	The policy will make clear to staff the Trust practice standard for the door to ward environments being open. Areas where it has been considered and agreed that access and egress should be limited is made listed in appendix 1. The policy also outlines a procedure for locking doors temporarily when clinical needs dictates										
<u> </u>	Send draft analysis along with the policy, strategy or service to equality.diversity@sussexpartnership.nhs.uk for internal quality control prior to ratification.										
1.8	Quality Assessor sign off	Cassandra Blowers									
1.9	Reference Number	CB 206B									



2. Evidence Pre-Analysis – The type and quality of evidence informing the assessment

	Patient / Employee Monitoring Data		Risk Assessments	Please provide detailed evidence for the areas
Χ	Recent Local Consultations	X	Research Findings	The Equality Act (2010)
	Complaints / PALS / Incidents Focus Groups / Interviews Service User / Staff Surveys		DH / NICE / National Reports	Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards, an amendmen to the Mental Capacity Act 2005
			Good Practice / Model Policies	DH Code of Practice Mental Health Act (1983)
			Previous Impact Analysis	revised 2015 European Convention of Human rights – Human
	Contract / Supplier Monitoring Data		Clinical Audits	rights act (1998) Huber et al (2016) Suicide risk and absconding
	Sussex Demographics / Census		Serious Untoward Incidents	in psychiatric hospitals with and without open
	Data from other agencies, e.g. Services, Police, third sector		Equality Diversity and Human Rights Annual Report	 door policies: a 15 year, observational study. The Lancet Psychiatry, Volume 3, Issue 9, 842 – 84 Mental Capacity Act (2005) Van De Merwe et al (2009) – Locked doors in acute inpatient psychiatry: a literature review Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 16(3):293-9 Previous EHIRA - 2012

3. Impact and outcome Evaluation – Any impacts or potential outcomes are described below.

				F	People	e's Ch	aracte	eristi	cs (Ma	ırk wi	th 'X'	·):
		1ark ne X	Describe how this policy, strategy or service will lead to positive • outcomes for the <u>protected characteristics.</u> Describe how this policy, strategy or service will lead to negative — outcomes for the <u>protected characteristics.</u>		ty &	nment	ncy & nity		જ ત		ation	_
Ref	+	-	(Please describe in full for each)	Age	Disabili Carers	Gende Reassig	Pregna Materr	Race	Religion Belief	Sex	Sexual Orienta	Humar Rights
3.1	+		Access statement on front of policy for alternative formats		Х			X				

					- 1	People	e's Ch	aract	eristi	cs (Ma	ark w	ith 'X'	'):
Ref	Ma one	ark e X		ribe how this policy, strategy or service will lead to positive outcomes for the protected characteristics. be how this policy, strategy or service will lead to negative outcomes for the protected characteristics. (Please describe in full for each)	Age	Disability & Carers	Gender Reassignment	Pregnancy & Maternity	Race	Religion & Belief	Sex	Sexual Orientation	Human
3.2	+		1.4.3	Staff must give due regard to the following articles of the Human Rights Act (1998) (the right to liberty, Article 3, the right to a private and family life, Article 5 and Article 8 respect for one's private and family life)and ensure that doors are only locked when a situation is risky enough to warrant this action and that the duration of the period that the door is locked is no longer than is necessary.									X
3.3	+		1.4.4	The locking of a door must not be used as an alternative to considering whether a patient may need to become subject to the Mental Health Act (1983) and detained.									Х
3.4	+		1.4.6	Locking the door that is usually 'open' is considered to be an incident, and should be recorded and reported using the Trust's incident reporting procedure, in order that statistical information can be provided for quality monitoring									X

Add more rows if necessary with new reference numbers in the left column

4. Monitoring Arrangements

- - ☑ Service user Disability reviewed quarterly by Equality and Diversity Steering Group or annually in the EDHR Annual Report
- 1.4.6 Locking the door that is usually 'open' is considered to be an incident, and should be recorded and reported using the Trust's incident reporting procedure, in order that statistical information can be provided for quality monitoring.

This will enable statistical data to be captured ad analysed and to ensure that no characteristic is being disproportionately affected. For example, high number of BME incidents.

5. Human Rights Pre-Assessment

The Impacts identified in sections () have their reference numbers (e.g. 4.1) inserted in the appropriate column for each relevant right or freedom					
	+ -				
A2. Right to life (e.g. Pain relief, DNAR, competency, suicide prevention)					
A3. Prohibition of torture, inhuman or degrading treatment (e.g. Service Users unable to consent)	3.2, 3.3, 3.4 & 4.1				
A4. Prohibition of slavery and forced labour (e.g. Safeguarding vulnerable patients policies)					
A5. Right to liberty and security (e.g. Deprivation of liberty protocols, security policy)	3.2, 3.3, 3.4 & 4.1	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA			
A6&7. Rights to a fair trial; and no punishment without law (e.g. MHA Tribunals)					
A8. Right to respect for private and family life, home and correspondence (e.g. Confidentiality, access to family etc)	3.2, 3.3, 3.4 & 4.1				
A9. Freedom of thought, conscience and religion (e.g. Animal-derived medicines/sacred space)					
A10. Freedom of expression (e.g. Patient information or whistle-blowing policies)					

A11. Freedom of assembly and association (e.g. Trade union recognition)	
A12. Right to marry and found a family (e.g. fertility, pregnancy)	
P1.A1. Protection of property (e.g. Service User property and belongings)	
P1.A2. Right to education (e.g. accessible information)	3.1
P1.A3. Right to free elections (e.g. Foundation Trust governors)	

6. Risk Grading

6.1 <u>Consequence</u> of negative impacts scored (1-5)

1

6.2 <u>Likelihood</u> of negative impacts scored (1-5):

1

6.3 Equality & Human Rights Risk Score

= Consequence x Likelihood scores:

7. Analysis Outcome— The outcome (A-D) of the analysis is marked below ('X') with a summary of the decision

X	7.1 The outcome selected (A-D):	7.2 Summary for the outcome decision (mandatory)
	A. Policy, strategy or service addresses quality of outcome and is positive in its language and terminology. It promote equality and fosters good community relations	Protecting the human rights of service users, some who may be vulnerable and some who
Х	B. Improvements made or planned for in section 9 (potential or actual adverse impacts removed and missed opportunities addressed at point of design)	may have limited capacity, is fundamental to providing quality mental health services. Therefore, this policy applies to all inpatient
	C. Policy, service or strategy continues with adverse impacts fully and lawfully justified (justification of adverse impacts should be set out in section 3 above	services except those detailed in Appendix 1.
	D. Policy, service or strategy recommended to be stopped. Unlawful discrimination or abuse identified.	

8. Equality & Human Rights Improvement Plan

Actions should when relevant and proportionate meet the different needs of people.

Impact Reference(s) (from assessment)	What directorate (team) action plan will this be built into	<u>Action</u>	Lead Person	Timescale	Resource Implications
	No further action				

Add more rows if necessary